arXiv:1404.2694v1 [math.CA] 10 Apr 2014

THE TRILINEAR EMBEDDING THEOREM

HITOSHI TANAKA

Abstract. Let σ_i, $i=1,2,3$, denote positive Borel measures on $\mathbb{R}^n$, let $\mathcal{D}$ denote the usual collection of dyadic cubes in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and let $K:\mathcal{D}\to[0,\infty)$ be a map. In this paper we give a characterization of the trilinear embedding theorem. That is, we give a characterization of the inequality

$$\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}} K(Q)\prod_{i=1}^{3}\left|\int_Q f_i\,d\sigma_i\right| \le C\prod_{i=1}^{3}\|f_i\|_{L^{p_i}(d\sigma_i)}$$

in terms of discrete Wolff's potential and Sawyer's checking condition, when $1<p_1,p_2,p_3<\infty$ and $\frac{1}{p_1}+\frac{1}{p_2}+\frac{1}{p_3}\ge 1$.

1. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to investigate the trilinear embedding theorem. We first fix some notations. We will denote by $\mathcal{D}$ the family of all dyadic cubes $Q=2^{-k}(m+[0,1)^n)$, $k\in\mathbb{Z}$, $m\in\mathbb{Z}^n$. Let $K:\mathcal{D}\to[0,\infty)$ be a map and let σ_i, $i=1,2,3$, be positive Borel measures on $\mathbb{R}^n$. In this paper we give a necessary and sufficient condition for which the inequality

$$\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}} K(Q)\prod_{i=1}^{3}\left|\int_Q f_i\,d\sigma_i\right| \le C\prod_{i=1}^{3}\|f_i\|_{L^{p_i}(d\sigma_i)}, \tag{1.1}$$

to hold when $1<p_1,p_2,p_3<\infty$ and $\frac{1}{p_1}+\frac{1}{p_2}+\frac{1}{p_3}\ge 1$. By duality, (1.1) is equivalent to two-weight norm inequality for the bilinear positive operators

$$\|T_K[f_1d\sigma_1,f_2d\sigma_2]\|_{L^{p_3'}(d\sigma_3)} \le C\prod_{i=1}^{2}\|f_i\|_{L^{p_i}(d\sigma_i)}.$$

Here, for each $1<p<\infty$, p' denote the dual exponent of p, i.e., $p'=\frac{p}{p-1}$, and the bilinear positive operator $T_K[\cdot\sigma_1,\cdot\sigma_2]$ is given by

$$T_K[f_1d\sigma_1,f_2d\sigma_2](x):=\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}} K(Q)\prod_{i=1}^{2}\left(\int_Q f_i\,d\sigma_i\right)1_Q(x),\quad x\in\mathbb{R}^n,$$

where 1_E stands for the characteristic function of the set E.

For the bilinear embedding theorem, in the case $\frac{1}{p_1}+\frac{1}{p_2}\ge 1$, Sergei Treil gives a simple proof of the following.

Proposition 1.1 ([16, Theorem 2.1])**.** *Let $K:\mathcal{D}\to[0,\infty)$ be a map and let σ_i, $i=1,2$, be positive Borel measures on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let $1<p_1,p_2<\infty$ and $\frac{1}{p_1}+\frac{1}{p_2}\ge 1$. The following statements are equivalent:*

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 42B20, 42B35 (primary), 31C45, 46E35 (secondary).

Key words and phrases. discrete Wolff's potential; bilinear positive dyadic operator; Sawyer's checking condition; trilinear embedding theorem; two-weight trace inequality.

The author is supported by the FMSP program at Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, the University of Tokyo, and Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) (No. 23540187), the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

(a) *The following bilinear embedding theorem holds:*

$$\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}} K(Q)\prod_{i=1}^{2}\left|\int_Q f_i\,d\sigma_i\right| \le c_1\prod_{i=1}^{2}\|f_i\|_{L^{p_i}(d\sigma_i)} < \infty;$$

(b) *For all $Q\in\mathcal{D}$,*

$$\begin{cases}\left(\int_Q\left(\sum_{Q'\subset Q}K(Q')\sigma_1(Q')1_{Q'}\right)^{p_2'}\,d\sigma_2\right)^{1/p_2'} \le c_2\sigma_1(Q)^{1/p_1} < \infty,\\ \left(\int_Q\left(\sum_{Q'\subset Q}K(Q')\sigma_2(Q')1_{Q'}\right)^{p_1'}\,d\sigma_1\right)^{1/p_1'} \le c_2\sigma_2(Q)^{1/p_2} < \infty.\end{cases}$$

Moreover, the least possible c_1 and c_2 are equivalent.

Proposition 1.1 was first proved for $p_1 = p_2 = 2$ in [9] by the Bellman function method. Later in [6], this was proved in full generality. The checking condition in Proposition 1.1 is called "the Sawyer type checking condition", since this was first introduced by Eric T. Sawyer in [10, 11].

To describe the case $\frac{1}{p_1}+\frac{1}{p_2}<1$, we need discrete Wolff's potential.

Let μ and ν be positive Borel measures on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and let $K:\mathcal{D}\to[0,\infty)$ be a map. We will denote by $\overline{K}_\mu(Q)(x)$ the function

$$\overline{K}_\mu(Q)(x) := \frac{1}{\mu(Q)}\sum_{Q'\subset Q}K(Q')\mu(Q')1_{Q'}(x),\quad x\in Q\in\mathcal{D},$$

and $\overline{K}_\mu(Q)(x)=0$ when $\mu(Q)=0$. For $p>1$, the discrete Wolff's potential $\mathcal{W}^p_{K,\mu}[\nu](x)$ of the measure ν is defined by

$$\mathcal{W}^p_{K,\mu}[\nu](x) := \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}}K(Q)\mu(Q)\left(\int_Q\overline{K}_\mu(Q)(y)\,d\nu(y)\right)^{p-1}1_Q(x),\quad x\in\mathbb{R}^n.$$

The author prove the following, which describes the case $\frac{1}{p_1}+\frac{1}{p_2}<1$.

Proposition 1.2 ([13, Theorem 1.3])**.** *Let $K:\mathcal{D}\to[0,\infty)$ be a map and let σ_i, $i=1,2$, be positive Borel measures on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let $1<p_1,p_2<\infty$ and $\frac{1}{p_1}+\frac{1}{p_2}<1$. The following statements are equivalent:*

(a) *The following bilinear embedding theorem holds:*

$$\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}} K(Q)\prod_{i=1}^{2}\left|\int_Q f_i\,d\sigma_i\right| \le c_1\prod_{i=1}^{2}\|f_i\|_{L^{p_i}(d\sigma_i)} < \infty;$$

(b) *For $\frac{1}{r}+\frac{1}{p_1}+\frac{1}{p_2}=1$,*

$$\begin{cases}\|\mathcal{W}^{p_2'}_{K,\sigma_2}[\sigma_1]^{1/p_2'}\|_{L^r(d\sigma_1)} \le c_2 < \infty,\\ \|\mathcal{W}^{p_1'}_{K,\sigma_1}[\sigma_2]^{1/p_1'}\|_{L^r(d\sigma_2)} \le c_2 < \infty.\end{cases}$$

Moreover, the least possible c_1 and c_2 are equivalent.

In his survey of the A_2 theorem [5], Tuomas P. Hytönen introduces another proof of Proposition 1.1, which uses the "parallel corona" decomposition from the recent work of Lacey, Sawyer, Shen and Uriarte-Tuero [7] on the two-weight boundedness of the Hilbert transform. In this paper, following Hytönen's arguments in [5] and applying Propositions 1.1 and 1.2, we shall establish the following theorem (Theorem 1.3).

Let $\mathcal{I}$ be the set of all permutations of $(1,2,3)$, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{I} := \{(1,2,3),\ (1,3,2),\ (2,1,3),\ (2,3,1),\ (3,1,2),\ (3,2,1)\}.$$

Let μ be a positive borel measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and let $K : \mathcal{D} \to [0,\infty)$ be a map. For $Q \in \mathcal{D}$, we will denote by $K(Q,\mu)$ the map

$$K(Q,\mu)(Q') := \begin{cases} K(Q')\mu(Q'), & q' \in \mathcal{D},\ Q' \subset Q, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 1.3. *Let $K : \mathcal{D} \to [0,\infty)$ be a map and let σ_i, $i = 1,2,3$, be positive Borel measures on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let $1 < p_1, p_2, p_3 < \infty$ and $\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} + \frac{1}{p_3} \geq 1$. The following statements are equivalent:*

(a) *The following trilinear embedding theorem holds:*

$$\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}} K(Q) \prod_{i=1}^{3} \left| \int_Q f_i \, d\sigma_i \right| \leq c_1 \prod_{i=1}^{3} \|f_i\|_{L^{p_i}(d\sigma_i)} < \infty;$$

(b) *For any $(a,b,c) \in \mathcal{I}$, if $\frac{1}{p_a} + \frac{1}{p_b} \geq 1$, then we have, for all $Q \in \mathcal{D}$,*

$$\begin{cases} \left(\int_Q \left(\sum_{Q'\subset Q} K(Q,\sigma_c)(Q')\sigma_a(Q')1_{Q'} \right)^{p_b'} d\sigma_b \right)^{1/p_b'} \leq c_2 \sigma_a(Q)^{1/p_a} \sigma_c(Q)^{1/p_c} < \infty, \\ \left(\int_Q \left(\sum_{Q'\subset Q} K(Q,\sigma_c)(Q')\sigma_b(Q')1_{Q'} \right)^{p_a'} d\sigma_a \right)^{1/p_a'} \leq c_2 \sigma_b(Q)^{1/p_b} \sigma_c(Q)^{1/p_c} < \infty, \end{cases}$$

if $\frac{1}{p_a} + \frac{1}{p_b} < 1$, then we have, for all $Q \in \mathcal{D}$ and for $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{p_a} + \frac{1}{p_b} = 1$,

$$\begin{cases} \|\mathcal{W}^{p_b'}_{K(Q,\sigma_c),\sigma_b}[\sigma_a]^{1/p_b'}\|_{L^r(d\sigma_a)} \leq c_2 \sigma_c(Q)^{1/p_c} < \infty, \\ \|\mathcal{W}^{p_a'}_{K(Q,\sigma_c),\sigma_a}[\sigma_b]^{1/p_a'}\|_{L^r(d\sigma_b)} \leq c_2 \sigma_c(Q)^{1/p_c} < \infty. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, the least possible c_1 and c_2 are equivalent.

In [8], Kangwei Li and Wenchang Sun establish the corresponding results of Theorem 1.3 for the bilinear fractional integrals in the case

$$\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2},\ \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_3},\ \frac{1}{p_2} + \frac{1}{p_3} \geq 1.$$

They also treat the weak-type estimates. For the works using Wolff's potential, we refer the readers to [1, 2, 3, 4, 12, 14, 15].

Remark 1.4. To describe the case $1 < p_1, p_2, p_3 < \infty$ and $\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} + \frac{1}{p_3} < 1$, probably, we need Wolff's potential of two-measures. But, we can not find it until now.

The letter C will be used for constants that may change from one occurrence to another.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.3

In what follows we shall prove Theorem 1.3. Let us start by proving that (a) implies (b). But, this is a direct consequence of Propositions 1.1 and 1.2. So, we concentrate on proving that (b) implies (a). We follow the arguments due to T. Hytönen in [5] with some necessary modifications. We will use $\fint_Q f \, d\mu$ to denote the integral average $\dfrac{1}{\mu(Q)} \displaystyle\int_Q f \, d\mu$.

Let $Q_0 \in \mathcal{D}$ be taken large enough and be fixed. We shall estimate the quantity

$$\sum_{Q\subset Q_0} K(Q) \prod_{i=1}^{3} \left(\int_Q f_i \, d\sigma_i \right), \tag{2.1}$$

where $f_i \in L^{p_i}(d\sigma_i)$ is nonnegative and is supported in Q_0.

We define the collection of principal cubes $\mathcal{F}_i$ for the pair (f_i, σ_i), $i = 1, 2, 3$. Namely,

$$\mathcal{F}_i := \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_i^k,$$

where $\mathcal{F}_i^0 := \{Q_0\}$,

$$\mathcal{F}_i^{k+1} := \bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}_i^k} ch_{\mathcal{F}_i}(F)$$

and $ch_{\mathcal{F}_i}(F)$ is defined by the set of all "maximal" dyadic cubes $Q \subset F$ such that

$$\fint_Q f_i \, d\sigma_i > 2 \fint_F f_i \, d\sigma_i.$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{F' \in ch_{\mathcal{F}_i}(F)} \sigma_i(F') \\
&\le \left(2 \fint_F f_i \, d\sigma_i \right)^{-1} \sum_{F' \in ch_{\mathcal{F}_i}(F)} \int_{F'} f_i \, d\sigma_i \\
&\le \left(2 \int_F f_i \, d\sigma_i \right)^{-1} \int_F f_i \, d\sigma_i = \frac{\sigma_i(F)}{2},
\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\sigma_i(E_{\mathcal{F}_i}(F)) := \sigma_i \left(F \setminus \bigcup_{F' \in ch_{\mathcal{F}_i}(F)} F' \right) \ge \frac{\sigma_i(F)}{2}, \tag{2.2}$$

where the sets $E_{\mathcal{F}_i}(F)$, $F \in \mathcal{F}_i$, are pairwise disjoint.

We further define the stopping parents, for $Q \in \mathcal{D}$,

$$\begin{cases} \pi_{\mathcal{F}_i}(Q) := \min\{F \supset Q : \, F \in \mathcal{F}_i\}, \\ \pi(Q) := (\pi_{\mathcal{F}_1}(Q), \pi_{\mathcal{F}_2}(Q), \pi_{\mathcal{F}_3}(Q)) \, . \end{cases}$$

Then we can rewrite the series in (2.1) as follows:

$$\sum_{Q \subset Q_0} = \sum_{(F_i) \in (\mathcal{F}_i)} \sum_{\substack{Q: \\ \pi(Q) = (F_i)}} \, . \tag{2.3}$$

We notice the elementary fact that, if $P, R \in \mathcal{D}$, then $P \cap R \in \{P, R, \emptyset\}$. This fact implies, if $\pi(Q) = (F_i)$, then

$$Q \subset F_a \subset F_b \subset F_c \text{ for some } (a, b, c) \in \mathcal{I}.$$

Thus, by symmetry of the problem in (2.3), we shall concentrate ourselves on the estimate

$$\text{(i)} := \sum_{H \in \mathcal{F}_3} \sum_{\substack{(F,G) \in (\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2): \\ F \subset G \subset H}} \sum_{\substack{Q: \\ \pi(Q) = (F,G,H)}} K(Q) \prod_{i=1}^{3} \left(\int_Q f_i \, d\sigma_i \right).$$

It follows that, for $H \in \mathcal{F}_3$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{F\subset G\subset H} \sum_{\substack{Q:\\ \pi(Q)=(F,G,H)}} K(Q) \prod_{i=1}^{3} \left(\int_Q f_i \, d\sigma_i \right) \\
&\le 2 \fint_H f_3 \, d\sigma_3 \sum_{F\subset G\subset H} \sum_{\substack{Q:\\ \pi(Q)=(F,G,H)}} K(Q)\sigma_3(Q) \prod_{i=1}^{2} \left(\int_Q f_i \, d\sigma_i \right) \\
&= 2 \fint_H f_3 \, d\sigma_3 \sum_{F\subset G\subset H} \sum_{\substack{Q:\\ \pi(Q)=(F,G,H)}} K(H,\sigma_3)(Q) \prod_{i=1}^{2} \left(\int_Q f_i \, d\sigma_i \right).
\end{aligned}$$

We need two observations. Suppose that $\pi(Q) = (F, G, H)$ and $F \subset G \subset H$. Let $i = 1, 2$. If $H' \in ch_{\mathcal{F}_3}(H)$ satisfies $H' \subset Q$, then, by definition of $\pi_{\mathcal{F}_3}$, we must have

$$\pi_{\mathcal{F}_3}\left(\pi_{\mathcal{F}_i}(H')\right) = H. \tag{2.4}$$

By this observation, we define

$$ch^i_{\mathcal{F}_3}(H) := \left\{ H' \in ch_{\mathcal{F}_3}(H) : \pi_{\mathcal{F}_3}\left(\pi_{\mathcal{F}_i}(H')\right) = H \right\}.$$

We further observe that, when $H' \in ch^i_{\mathcal{F}_3}(H)$, we can regard f_i as a constant on H' in the above integrals, that is, we can replace f_i by f_i^H in the above integrals, where

$$f_i^H := f_i 1_{E_{\mathcal{F}_3}(H)} + \sum_{H' \in ch^i_{\mathcal{F}_3}(H)} \fint_{H'} f_i \, d\sigma_i 1_{H'}.$$

A little thought confirms that, by the assumption (b) and Propositions 1.1 and 1.2,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{F\subset G\subset H} \sum_{\substack{Q:\\ \pi(Q)=(F,G,H)}} K(H,\sigma_3)(Q) \prod_{i=1}^{2} \left(\int_Q f_i^H \, d\sigma_i \right) \\
&\le C c_2 \sigma_3(H)^{1/p_3} \prod_{i=1}^{2} \|f_i^H\|_{L^{p_i}(d\sigma_i)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\text{(i)} \le C c_2 \sum_{H\in\mathcal{F}_3} \prod_{i=1}^{2} \|f_i^H\|_{L^{p_i}(d\sigma_i)} \fint_H f_3 \, d\sigma_3 \sigma_3(H)^{1/p_3}.$$

Since $1 < p_1, p_2, p_3 < \infty$ and $\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} + \frac{1}{p_3} \ge 1$, we can select the auxiliary parameters s_i, $i = 1, 2$, that satisfy

$$\frac{1}{s_1} + \frac{1}{s_2} + \frac{1}{p_3} = 1 \text{ and } 1 < p_i \le s_i < \infty.$$

It follows from Hölder's inequality with exponent s_1, s_2 and p_3 that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{(i)} &\le Cc_2 \prod_{i=1}^{2} \left(\sum_{H\in\mathcal{F}_3} \|f_i^H\|_{L^{p_i}(d\sigma_i)}^{s_i} \right)^{1/s_i} \\
&\quad \times \left(\sum_{H\in\mathcal{F}_3} \left(\fint_H f_3 \, d\sigma_3 \right)^{p_3} \sigma_3(H) \right)^{1/p_3} \\
&\le Cc_2 \prod_{i=1}^{2} \left(\sum_{H\in\mathcal{F}_3} \|f_i^H\|_{L^{p_i}(d\sigma_i)}^{p_i} \right)^{1/p_i} \\
&\quad \times \left(\sum_{H\in\mathcal{F}_3} \left(\fint_H f_3 \, d\sigma_3 \right)^{p_3} \sigma_3(H) \right)^{1/p_3} \\
&=: Cc_2(\mathrm{i}_1) \times (\mathrm{i}_2) \times (\mathrm{i}_3),
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used $\|\cdot\|_{l^{p_i}} \ge \|\cdot\|_{l^{s_i}}$.

For (i_3), using $\sigma_3(H) \le 2\sigma_3(E_{\mathcal{F}_3}(H))$ (see (2.2)), the fact that

$$\fint_H f_3 \, d\sigma_3 \le \inf_{y\in H} M_{\sigma_3} f_3(y)$$

and the disjointness of the sets $E_{\mathcal{F}_3}(H)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathrm{i}_3) &\le C \left(\sum_{H\in\mathcal{F}_3} \int_{E_{\mathcal{F}_3}(H)} (M_{\sigma_3} f_3)^{p_3} \, d\sigma_3 \right)^{1/p_3} \\
&\le C \left(\int_{Q_0} (M_{\sigma_3} f_3)^{p_3} \, d\sigma_3 \right)^{1/p_3} \le C\|f_3\|_{L^{p_3}(d\sigma_3)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here, M_{σ_3} is the dyadic Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator and we have used the $L^{p_3}(d\sigma_3)$-boundedness of M_{σ_3}.

It remains to estimate (i_1). ((i_2) can be estimated by the same manner.) It follows that

$$(\mathrm{i}_1)^{\mathrm{p}_1} = \sum_{\mathrm{H}\in\mathcal{F}_3} \int_{\mathrm{E}_{\mathcal{F}_3}(\mathrm{H})} \mathrm{f}_1^{\mathrm{p}_1} \, \mathrm{d}\sigma_1 + \sum_{\mathrm{H}\in\mathcal{F}_3} \sum_{\mathrm{H}'\in \mathrm{ch}^1_{\mathcal{F}_3}(\mathrm{H})} \left(\fint_{\mathrm{H}'} \mathrm{f}_1 \, \mathrm{d}\sigma_1 \right)^{\mathrm{p}_1} \sigma_1(\mathrm{H}').$$

By the pairwise disjointness of the sets $E_{\mathcal{F}_3}(H)$, it is immediate that

$$\sum_{H\in\mathcal{F}_3} \int_{E_{\mathcal{F}_3}(H)} f_1^{p_1} \, d\sigma_1 \le \|f_1\|_{L^{p_1}(d\sigma_1)}^{p_1}.$$

For the remaining double sum, we use the definition of $ch^1_{\mathcal{F}_3}(H)$ (see (2.4)) to reorganize:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{H\in\mathcal{F}_3}\sum_{H'\in ch^1_{\mathcal{F}_3}(H)}\left(\fint_{H'} f_1\,d\sigma_1\right)^{p_1}\sigma_1(H')\\
&=\sum_{H\in\mathcal{F}_3}\sum_{\substack{F\in\mathcal{F}_1:\\ \pi_{\mathcal{F}_3}(F)=H}}\sum_{\substack{H'\in ch_{\mathcal{F}_3}(H):\\ \pi_{\mathcal{F}_1}(H')=F}}\left(\fint_{H'} f_1\,d\sigma_1\right)^{p_1}\sigma_1(H')\\
&\le\sum_{H\in\mathcal{F}_3}\sum_{\substack{F\in\mathcal{F}_1:\\ \pi_{\mathcal{F}_3}(F)=H}}\left(2\fint_{F} f_1\,d\sigma_1\right)^{p_1}\sigma_1(F)\\
&\le\sum_{F\in\mathcal{F}_1}\left(2\fint_{F} f_1\,d\sigma_1\right)^{p_1}\sigma_1(F)\\
&\le C\|M_{\sigma_1}f_1\|^{p_1}_{L^{p_1}(d\sigma_1)}\le C\|f_1\|^{p_1}_{L^{p_1}(d\sigma_1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Altogether, we obtain

$$\text{(i)}\le Cc_2\prod_{i=1}^{3}\|f_i\|_{L^{p_i}(d\sigma_i)}.$$

This yields the theorem.

References

[1] Cascante C. and Ortega J., *On the boundedness of discrete Wolff potentials*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5), **8** (2009), no. 2, 309–331.

[2] Cascante C., Ortega J. and Verbitsky I., *Nonlinear potentials and two-weight trace inequalities for general dyadic and radial kernels*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., **53** (2004), no. 3, 845–882.

[3] ———, *On L^p-L^q trace inequalities*, J. London Math. Soc. (2), **74** (2006), no. 2, 497–511.

[4] ———, *Wolff's inequality for radially nonincreasing kernels and applications to trace inequalities*, Potential Anal., **16** (2002), no. 4, 347–372.

[5] Hytönen T., *The A_2 theorem: Remarks and complements*, arXiv:1212.3840 (2012).

[6] Lacey M., Sawyer E. and Uriarte-Tuero I., *Two weight inequalities for discrete positive operators*, arXiv:0911.3437 (2009).

[7] Lacey M., Sawyer E., Shen C.-Y. and Uriarte-Tuero I., *Two weight inequality for the Hilbert transform: A real variable characterization*, arXiv:1201.4319 (2012).

[8] Li K. and Sun W., *Two weight norm inequalities for the bilinear fractional integral*, arXiv:1312.7707v2 (2013).

[9] Nazarov F., Treil S. and Volberg A., *The Bellman functions and two-weight inequalities for Haar multipliers*, J. of Amer. Math. Soc., **12** (1999), no. 4, 909–928.

[10] Sawyer E., *A characterization of a two-weight norm inequality for maximal operators*, Studia Math., **75** (1982), no. 1, 1–11.

[11] ———, *A characterization of two-weight norm inequalities for fractional and Poisson integrals*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **308** (1988), no. 2, 533–545.

[12] Tanaka H., *Two-weight norm inequalities for potential type integral operators in the case $p > q > 0$ and $p > 1$*, Studia Math., **216** (2013), no. 1, 1–15.

[13] ———, *A characterization of two-weight trace inequalities for positive dyadic operators in the upper triangle case*, Potential Anal., online.

[14] Tanaka H. and Gunawan H., *The Local Trace Inequality for Potential Type Integral Operators*, Potential Anal., **38** (2013), no. 2, 653–681.

[15] Tanaka H. and Terasawa Y., *Positive operators and maximal operators in a filtered measure space*, J. Funct. Anal., **264** (2013), no. 4, 920–946.

[16] Treil S., *A remark on two-weight estimates for positive dyadic operators*, arXiv:1201.1455 (2012).

Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, 153-8914, Japan

E-mail address: htanaka@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp